App F IX 4

Previous References 1933-4 (Jul)

Letter References [illegible]

1/ **File No** 151-33

Serial Nos 18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos one

Part B for Feb 1936

SUBJECT

Abolition of toll in Seringapatam Municipality

W D 03607—G P B—22 500—2-8-35

27/7/36

Abolition of toll in Seringapatam Municipality

L 4124 / 4366 4124

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 4366 of 193 [App F III—2

Serial No

Letter no D3 2288/33-34 dated 5th March 34 from the Deputy Commr, Mysore district requesting sanction ~~to~~ for abolishing the levy of tolls in Seringapatam Municipality

1) The previous papers relating to the levy of tolls in the Seringapatam Municipality which were sent to the 2nd member's office in connection with the deputation of some ~~[illegible]~~ councillors of the municipality have not been returned yet and the Personal Asst to the 2nd member may be requested to return the same.

2) Before the proposal could be sanctioned the Mpl has perhaps to observe the ~~rules~~ procedure required under the Town Municipalities Regn and then submit its resolution in the matter for the approval of Govt. In the present case neither the required procedure appears to have been observed nor the resolution of the Mpl in the matter been enclosed

3) Submitted for orders

14/3/34 AV

4) Please obtain & put up the connected file & also a draft letter to Dy Comr

14/3

L to 2nd member with Compts for returning the file

14/3

SECOND M
14 MAR 1934
NO 5910

5) The connected files nos 140-32-SS 1-7 and 151-33-SS 1- relating to the levy of tolls in Seringapatam and abolition of the same on buses passing through the main road at Seringapatam are put up

6) Draft reply to the Dy Commr is also submitted for approval

20/3/34 AV

The file is linked.

17/3

[illegible]

19/3

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0434—GPB—15 000—12 10-33

2

Abolition of the levy of toll in Seringapatam municipality G 366

41 MV 4369

Mr File No 157 of 1933-34

General and Revenue
Secretariat

	Serial No	
7. Reference is invited to the Dy Comr's D.O. letter Dt 15-3-34 placed below & the Dewan's order thereon.		H
8. Section 47 (2) of the Town Municipalities Regn requires that before a M.C. can abolish a tax under sub sec (1) the procedure prescribed in Ch VII of the Regn should be complied with, so far as may be. That is, public notice will have to be given by the M.C. of the proposed abolition of the toll, inviting objections thereto & after the objections if any, are read, the Council will have to consider & submit them for the orders of Govt with its resolution thereon. This however means some delay in the abolition of the toll & this cannot perhaps be avoided (Sec 80 of the Regn does not apply to the case)		21.3 2304
9. The Draft letter to the Dy Comr put up is for orders. AM 21/3		
Dev Secy) 10) May be approved. The file will be submitted to the Dewan for information on his return with a brief note explaining the position & the time by which the toll can be expected to be removed. Second Member. MV 23/3 AM 22/3		OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 23 MAR 1934 No 6116
11) Approved 24/3 MV 26/3		ML 151-33 dt 1-10 Note for D No 4229
12) Issue & resubmit after the Dewan's return AM 26/3		
Issued No L 7100 dt 29/3/34. To DC Mysore. Requests him to avoid the need to follow the procedure prescribed under		ISSUED & TYPING SECTION 27 MAR 1934 GE

Abolition of the levy of tolls in Seringapatam Municipality

~~4366~~ ~~L 4124~~ ✓ ~~4366~~ 5387

General and Revenue Secretariat — nil File No 157 of 1933 — App F/III 2

Serial No.

the Town Municipalities Regn 1933 in respect of the proposed abolition and then submit the objections if any received in response thereof together with the resolution of the Mcl

13) Resubmitted after issue as directed (Ref para 12 supra

BS 2/4/34

14) For kind perusal

Orders sanctioning the abolition of the toll will be issued after receipt of the objections if any preferred thereto by the people and the opinion of the MCl thereon. It may be a couple of months before the toll is removed as the MCl has to give one months time to the public for filing objections & these objections have to be considered by the MCl and a reference made to Govt

Dewan)

AR 2/4

DEWAN'S OFFICE 2 APR 1934 6208

15) 2 4-34

3 4 34

3/4/34

Letter no D3 2208/33 34 dated 18th May 1934 from the Deputy Commr Mysore district stating that the Mcl have resolved that the toll cannot be abolished in the present state of finances and that he has issued a notice under sec 194 of the Town Mcl Regn to the Mcl to show cause why the resolution should not be suspended or prohibited

16) Submitted for information. The receipt of the further

Note—Continuation Sheet WD—P 10

5/ 43660 W L 5387

File N f 193 ut ne[illegible] Serl N

communication suspending or prohibiting the resolution of the M.C.C. from the Dy. Commr may be awaited, if approved

83/2875 — MV — 17 The Dy. Comr has issued a notice to the M.C.C. for the purpose of ~~the~~ making an order under Sec. 194(1) of the Town Mcpl Regn for suspending or prohibiting the resolution of the M.C.C. that the toll cannot be abolished.

18 The provisions of sec 194(1) have to be invoked when the resolution of a M.C.C. is causing or is likely to cause injury or annoyance to the public or ~~to a~~ lead to a breach of the peace or is unlawful. Toll is being levied in the Seringapatam M.C. with the sanction of Govt and the resolution of the M.C.C. not to abolish the levy cannot be said to ~~cause~~ be unlawful or cause injury ~~or~~ annoyance or lead to a breach of the peace unless it is considered that the obligation to pay the tax is itself an annoyance to the public.

19 The proper provision for abolishing the toll in the M.C. is Section 80 of the Regn under which Govt can suspend or ~~pro~~ prohibit any tax which is obnoxious to the interests of the general public. ~~Such~~ An order may be passed by Govt under this Secn abolishing the toll in the M.C. on receipt of a further reference from the Dy Comr intimating the result of the notice issued by him ~~as to the order passed~~

20 If approved the Dy Comr will be asked to expedite ~~the~~ his further communication in

5387
2

5

[illegible]d Re
[illegible]

File No. 7193

[A F III—2

Sr. No.

the matter and to forward the [illegible] requested from the Mly & the connected papers

Dept Secy)

AM
30/5

21

The explanation of Mly have asserted that the [illegible] cannot be abolished. The D.C. has issued them a notice to show cause why the [illegible] should be [illegible]. The procedure is not correct. Govt can take action under sec 80. This will however be done after seeing what action the Mly will take after D.C.'s notice. For information.

Second Member)

Dewan)

30-5
AM
30/5

CO B CD
30 MAY 1934
8071

31/5

23 M.I
31-5-34

26/6

31 MAY 1934
No 260

24 No further ~~information~~ communication is received. Subtd brought as per Asst Secy orders

25 There does not appear to be any necessity to pursue the matter further & it may therefore be filed

us
19 9 34

AM 19/9/34

26 I don't think that can be done

27 The Mly may be asked what reply has recd to the show cause notice issued by them to the Mly; if it is not recd to remind; recd & forward it to Govt with his remark

WD 64 —G B 000—12

Abolition of tolls — Seringapatam Municipality

L 5387

3683

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

27

Submitted with draft for approval

83
30/9 30/9

Issue
30/9

1 OCT 1935

Issued not 2616 of 3/10/35 to DC Mysore enquiring whether any reply has been received to the "show cause" notice issued to the Municipality and if not to obtain and forward the same with ~~your~~ his remarks

Reg

From

The Deputy Commr, Mysore Distt

no D3-2288/33-34 d/10/2/36

requests that, in the circs stated, sanction of Govt may be obtained to cancel the "show cause" notice issued by him to the Seringapatam Mty & the Mty allowed to continue to levy the toll

30 Submitted for orders with refce to paras 2 to 23 & 27 supra

18/2/36 18/2

The levy of toll was introduced in ~~the Mty~~ Seringapatam in connection with the proposal to levy a pilgrim tax. As toll can no longer be levied on motor vehicles under the rules issued under the Road Traffic Stats Regn the Dy Comr has been asked to submit proposals for the levy of toll on individual passengers entering the town by road by motor vehicles or otherwise. The question whether the levy of toll on carts &c should be continued or not may be considered along with the said proposals when recd.

Dev Secy)

5381

28/2/36

No D3-2288/33-34
Enc one file

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Mysore, 10th Feb 1936.

GE 3683
12 FEB 1936
L & G BR

FROM

B T KESAVAIENGAR Esq, B A
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MYSORE DISTRICT,
MYSORE

To

M Venkatanaranappa, Esq , B A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, *Bangalore*

SR

SIR,

"Show cause notice" issued to the Municipal Council of Seringapatam Town for the abolition of Municipal tolls

With reference to your letter No L 2416 dated 2/3rd October 1935, and subsequent reminder thereon, anent the above, I have the honor to state that after the issue of notice under Sec 194 (1) of the Town Municipal Regulation of 1933, the Municipal Council resolved on 31-5-1934 that on a representation made by the Vice President in this matter, the Dewan is stated to have expressed that there was no necessity to abolish the tolls at present, as the income derived under this head was about Rs 2000/-per annum

As however there was nothing on record, the President of the Municipal Council was again requested to submit a definite reply to the notice after placing the matter before the Council The Council accordingly met again on 28-7-34 and resolved that a representation should be submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, stating that arrangements were being made to cause no annoyance to the public, that the rates of toll were very low and that the finances of the Municipality did not permit of the abolition of toll, which was an important source of revenue Further, they have stated that the realisations of toll have been ear-marked for the repayment of loan obtained by them for the Water Supply Scheme in respect of which they have to incur nearly a sum of Rs 5000/- per annum towards maintenance and other charges, that there is no other source to improve the finances of the Municipality and that should, however, the Government be pleased to make over to them a certain sum out of the realisations of State Fund Tolls, by levy of additional fees, they would be prepared to abolish the tolls altogether

Subsequent to the passing of the resolution in July 1934, the subject was again discussed by the then Deputy Commissioner on 5th August 1934, with the Municipal Councillors, and the President was requested to submit a reply regarding the result of discussion that took place then The matter does not seem to have progressed thereafter It was only after the issue of a reminder in October 1935 that the President submitted the papers to this office

I may however add that with the passing of the Road Traffic and Taxes Regulation, the situation has altered The Municipal Council can levy tolls only on carts and non-motor vehicles Moreover, the income under this head is very small and it is specifically ear-marked for the repayment of the loan obtained from Government There are no other sources to augment the resources of the Municipality

In these circumstances, as the necessity for the issue of a "Show Cause Notice" does not arise, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to cancel the same, and to allow the Municipal Council to continue to levy the toll, to the present truncated extent

The connected papers are enclosed for favour of reference

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

A N

No 429 / 81
33-34

[illegible]
[illegible] —
[illegible]

[illegible] 2-3 34 [illegible]

D3 2288/33 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 14/4 34 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

TA papers

[illegible]
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
[illegible] —

President

14/4/34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER COORG DISTRICT
No 16160
17 APR. 1934
D3

Memo

Attention is invited to this office memo no Dg 2288/33 dated 4/4/34

28/4/34

for Dy Commissioner

Extract of the Seringapatam Municipal Council Resolution of the Special meeting held on 14 4 34

10

x x x x x

[illegible]
[illegible]
1 3 34 [illegible] 2288/33-34 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] —

Resolved that in the present State of finances of the Municipality, it is not possible to abolish the toll

Resolved further that the right of collecting tolls may be sold in public auction for the year 34 35

x x x x x x x x x x

"True Extract"

President

(Sd) M. G. Shariff
President
14 4 34

K a report was not promptly sent

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

P

sm

19/10/35

Flash to put up reminder reference. Why was the reply delayed? I want the reference at once

19/10/35

[illegible] fees [illegible] letter issued. In view [illegible] put up by Flash, the Deputy [illegible] may kindly [illegible] consulted in person

7/11/35

[illegible]

[illegible]
29/31 7-34 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 14-9-34 [illegible] 2288/33 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] "To" [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

P.M. No 64/35-36

12

Elections file

Submitted to the President

~~The G.O.~~ Govt order No 2288 dated 14/9/34 of Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District was received in this office on 15/9/34 & the then President in his No 2155/15/9/34 had referred this paper to the Vice President. After the receipt of this reference now in Oct 1935, the clerk then produced this file & given his explanatory note overleaf. Further action will have to be taken in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

[illegible]

C.O. 26/11/35

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
2d clerk
26-11-35

P
29-11-35
C.O. will take further action & submit report [illegible]
26/11/35

Meeting with the same
5.12.35
30/11/35

[illegible] convert

13

No D3. 2288/33

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT
Dated, Mysore, 18th May 1934.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

7 477
33-34

Whereas it appears to me that there are practical difficulties in collecting toll at different centres (Ganjam, Paschimavahini and Seringapatam), that the income from such tolls is not very appreciable and that the levy of toll is causing much trouble and inconvenience to visitors to various places of interest in this historic town, whereas, in these circumstances it appears to me that the resolution of the Seringapatam Municipal Council passed at their meeting held on 14th April 1934, not to abolish the tolls in the Municipality, is causing or is likely to cause annoyance to the public, I, Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, do hereby require the said Municipal Council to show cause, within a fortnight, why the execution of the said resolution of the 14th April 1934 should not be suspended or prohibited under Section 194 (1) of the Mysore Town Municipal Regulation, 1933.

3060
5-34
s patam please
ce before the Council

NRS

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The President,
Seringapatam Municipal Council.

[illegible]

No 523 (Enc) / 3 6 34

14

[illegible]

[illegible] —

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ [illegible]

ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಿಂದ, ಈ ಮುನಸೀಫರವರಿಗೆ [illegible] 18 6 34 [illegible] 2288/33 [illegible] "ಷೋಕಾಸ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್" [illegible] 31 5 34 [illegible] 2000/- [illegible]

[illegible] 2000/- [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2000/- [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

D 1-6-34 .... President

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
15531
No
4 JUN 1934
D3
DISTRICT

D3 2288/33
Encl

Immediate 7-7
34-35

Memo

No action can be taken on such reports of what the Dewan Sardar is said to have said in the matter. The President is requested to submit the reply to the show cause notice issued in this office number D3 2288/33 dated 18-5-34, without further delay. This may be taken as standing instruction for ~~[illegible]~~ future guidance.

R Ramaswamy Iyengar
For Dy Commissioner

99

P
5-7-34
M office spl attn

R3 P

7/7/34

L S G Branch
2/7/34

For Special meeting on the 16th

Ref Deputy Commr's order No –
D3 2288/33 d 2 7 34

No 44 [illegible]
34-35

ಇಶ್ತಿಹಾರ್

ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಣಿಗರಿಂದ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತಾ|| 28 7 34 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಮೀಟಿಂಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲರವರು, "ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಿಂದ ಸಾದರಾದ ಷೋಕಾಸ್ ನೋಟೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾವು ಆಲೋಚಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇವೆಂತಲೂ, ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಿಗೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ತೊಂದರೆಯೂ ಇಲ್ಲದಂತೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡುಗಳನ್ನು ಆಲೋಚಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇವೆಂತಲೂ, ಪೋಲೀಸ್ "[illegible]ಗಳು [illegible] ಇರುತ್ತವೆಂತಲೂ, [illegible] ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ವರಮಾನ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಈ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ [illegible]ವನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಮುನಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯು [illegible] ಬದಲ್ [illegible] ಬರುತ್ತದೆಂತಲೂ, ಮತ್ತು ಈ [illegible] ಮತ್ತು [illegible] ಮಾಡಲು [illegible]ದ್ವಾರ [illegible] ಕಾರ್ಯ [illegible]ರುತ್ತೇವೆಂತಲೂ, [illegible] 5000/- ರೂಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಮುನಸಿಪಾಲಿ[illegible] ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಸಂಭವವಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ, [illegible]ವನ್ನು ವಜಾ ಮಾಡದೆ ಬರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತೆ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡೂ, ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯ[illegible] ಮಾಡಲು [illegible] ಇದರಿಂದ ಬರುವ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯು [illegible] ಮುನಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ [illegible] ಆಗುವಂತೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ [illegible] ಮುನಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ [illegible] ಮುನಸಿಪಾಲಿ[illegible] ಬರುವ [illegible] ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಲು [illegible]ರೆಂತಲೂ," [illegible] ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರಿಗೆ [illegible] ಇದರೊಡನೆ [illegible]ಸಿರುತ್ತೆ

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಖಾವಂದರವರು ದಯಮಾಡಿ, ಈ ಮುನಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಬರುವ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವರಮಾನವಾಗಿರುವ ಈ [illegible]ಯನ್ನು ವಜಾ ಮಾಡದೆ ಬರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರಲು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಬಹುದಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆಂದು [illegible] —

i Dy 29/7/34

President

May this be kept with pending the interview of the M. councillors at S'patam
Subtd
[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT
1976
No
1 AUG 1934
23

7/31

7 155
34-35

Dy 2228/33

Memo

Returned for submission of a report as to the result of discussion with the Dy Commissioner re abolition of M.C. tolls at Seringapatam

A. Ramadhyaya
For Dy. Commr
Mys
14/9

p2155
13 9 34
S.patam Mlty.
J.V.P.

CM.

Extract of the Seringapatam Municipal Council Resolution
of the meeting held on 31 5 34

16

XII [illegible]
[illegible] 14 4 34 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
18 5 34 [illegible] 2288 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Show Cause Notice [illegible]
[illegible]

True Extract
[illegible]
President

(Sd) S Deviah
Hon Vice President
31 5 34

Extract of the Seringapatam Municipal Council Resolution of the ordinary meeting held on 28-7-1934

18

× × × × × × × × × × ×

1 ಟೋಲ್ ಫೀ" ಕಿರ್ದಾನ್ ನೋಟೀಸಿನ ಬಾ ರದ್ದು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ರವರಿಂದ ಸಾದರಾಗಿರುವ 2-7-34 ನೇ ತಾ॥ ನಂ 2288/33 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೆಮೋ ವಿಚಾರ –

"Resolved unanimously that a representation be submitted to the Deputy Commissioner that the council has taken in to consideration all the facts stated in the notice and consider that the council has made sufficient arrangements to see that no annoyance be caused to the public, that the rates of Toll are very low and that the finances of the council do not permit of the giving up of this important source of revenue leading to a realisations of a sum of about two-thousand rupees a year. Further the realisations of the toll revenue are mortgaged to the Govt for the repayment of the loan sanctioned by the Government for the water supply scheme which together with working charges & loan instalment are expected to come up to about five thousand rupees a year and that in the present conditions there is room and need to further improve the income from this source. The council therefore respectfully submit that the D C may kindly view the matter sympathetically and allow the levy. If however the Govt agree to levy an additional fee at the State toll & give extra realisations to the council, the council will be only too glad to abolish the toll

× × × × × × × × × × × ×

"True Extract"

President

S d/- Mahammad Ismail Sherriff
President

17

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

D. O No D_3 2288/33

Immediate

Dated Mysore 6/12/35

7

F 341/35-36

Dear Sir,

In inviting your attention to this office Memo, letter, D.O. D_3 No 2288/33 dated 18 5 34 on the † subject noted below and subsequent reminders thereto, as noted in the margin, I am to request you to personally look into the matter at once, and submit the report already called for immediately The cause of delay should also be explained The Subject is pending from a long time. Further delay should be avoid

12/10/35

† Subject Show cause notice regarding the abolition of tolls in Seringapatam Municipality

Received on 8/12/35

A1

attend to this at once 8.12.35

Yours sincerely,

for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt

Mkr 6/12

To

Hyder ali Khan Esqr
~~Amildar~~/Sub-Division Officer
and president of Seringapatam Municipality
(French Rocks)

9/12/35

GEN & RE… 6 MAR 1934 L & G BRANCH

No D3.2288/33-34
Enc

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.
MYSORE DISTRICT

Dated Mysore 2nd March 1934

5

FROM

C S KUPPUSWAMIENGAR Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

TO

M Venkatanaranappa, Esq ,B A ,
~~General~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore
(Development Department)

DEV… T SEC 6 MAR 1934

SIR

Abolition of toll in Seringapatam Municipality

Adverting to your D O letter No L 4123 dated the 15th December 1933 intimating the decision of Government that toll should not be levied on Service Busses by the Seringapatam Municipal Council as they do not enter Fort or Ganjam limits, I have the honor to state that the said order was given effect to from 23rd December 1933, since when, a sum of Rs 515-2-0 was collected up to the end of 15th February 1934 It is not likely that this rate will be kept up during the remaining months as the harvest season is over The average income is not likely to exceed Rs 150/- a month Against this sum, the Municipality is incurring a monthly recurring charge of Rs 90/- on establishment and contingency The net income will thus be about Rs 60/- a month or approximately Rs 700/- a year

In this connection, I beg to state that the main object of the levy of pilgrim tax by a sur-charge on Railway tickets and the levy of tolls on vehicles entering Municipal Town, was to augment the resources of the Municipality and to find sufficient funds to keep the town neat and clean by effecting improvements on approved lines It was expected that the two sources would bring in an annual income of Rs 10,000/- (Rs 5,000/- from each)

April 1933	Rs 275/-
May (upto 19th)	182/-
From 25th September 1933 to the end of the month	112/-
October 1933	347/-
November 1933	319/-
December 1933	243/-

From the marginally noted figures furnished by the Auditor, Mysore Railways, it will be seen that the Municipality may not realise more than a sum of Rs,3000/- annually from the levy of pilgrim tax on Ry tickets. The net income ~~from the~~ levy of toll may not go beyond Rs 700/- a year, as already stated above

Considering the fall in the toll income owing mainly to the non-levy of toll on Service Busses, and in view of the practical difficulties the Municipality is put to ~~the~~, in collecting the toll amani at different centres (Ganjam, Paschimavahini and Seringapatam), and the constant trouble and inconvenience, the visitors to those places are put to, I am of opinion that for a realisation of a net income of Rs 700/-. it is not worth the trouble to collect the toll

In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the abolition of toll in Seringapatam Municipality

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

D.O No, D3 2288/33 Enc one

C S KUPPUSWAMIENGAR Esq
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT
Dated, Mysore 15th March 1934

4366
2

Dear Mr Singaram,

In reply to your D O.letter No 2681 dated 13th March 1934 re the abolition of toll levied by the Seringapatam Municipality, I write to inform you that a recommendation has already been made to Government in this office letter No D3 2288/33-34 dated the 1st March 1934 (copy enclosed) for the abolition of toll.

Yours sincerely,

DEWA S OFF

~~Submitted~~ for perusal

This will be sent to General Secy who will be requested to expedite passing orders ~~orders~~

16/3/34

21 3 34

16/3

xxx
[illegible]

21/3

4424
20/3

Letter No.D3 2288/33-34 dated the 2nd March 1934, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Development Department, Bangalore.

Abolition of toll in Seringapatam Municipality.

Adverting to your D.O letter No.L 4123 dated the 15th December 1933 intimating the decision of Government that toll should not be levied on Service Busses by the Seringapatam Municipal Council as they do not enter Fort or Ganjam limits. I have the honor to state that the said Order was given effect to from 23rd December 1933, since when a sum of Rs 515-2-0 was collected up to the end of 15th February 1934 It is not likely that this rate will be kept up during the remaining months as the harvest season is over The average income is not likely to exceed Rs 150/- a month Against this sum, the Municipality is incurring a monthly recurring charge of Rs.90/- on establishment and contingency. The net income will thus be about Rs 60/- a month or approximately Rs 700/- a year

In this connection, I beg to state that the main object of the levy of pilgrim tax by a surcharge on Railway tickets and the levy of tolls on vehicles entering Municipal Town was to augment the resources of the Municipality and to find sufficient funds to keep the town neat and clean by effecting improvements on approved lines It was expected that the two sources would being in an annual income of Rs 10,000/- (Rs 5000/- from each) From the marginally noted figures furnished by the Auditor, Mysore Railways, it will be seen that the Municipality may not realize more than a sum of Rs 3000/- annually from the levy of pilgrim tax The net income from the levy of toll may not go beyond Rs 700/- a year as already stated above

April 1933	Rs 275/-
May (up to 19th)	182/-
From 25th September 1933 to the end of the month.	112/-
October 1933	347/-
November 1933	319/-
December 1933	243/-

25

Considering the fall in the toll income owing mainly to the non-levy of toll on service busses and in view of the practical difficulties the Municipality is put to in collecting the toll amani at different centres (Ganjam, Paschimavahini and Seringapatam), and the constant trouble and inconvenience, the visitors to those places are put to, I am of opinion that for a realisation of a net income of Rs.700/- it is not worth the trouble to collect the toll.

In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the abolition of toll in Seringapatam Municipality

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

No. 2681

Bangalore,
13th March 1934 7-6

Dear Mr. Kuppuswami Iyengar,

The Dewan observed this morning that the toll-gate leading to Seringapatam Fort has not yet been removed I am desired to bring this to your notice and to inquire why no action has been taken for the removal of the gate

Yours sincerely,
Sd Js
Private Secretary to Dewan

L 7100
ML 151-33

See Fd

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

To

The Deputy Commissioner
~~Bangalore~~
Mysore district

Sir

With reference to your letter no D3 2288/33-34 dated 5th March 34, requesting sanction for the abolition of the levy of tolls in Seringapatam Municipality, I am directed to request you to ask the municipal council of Seringapatam to give public ~~go through~~ notice of the proposed abolition under ~~the formalities required under~~ Sec 62 ~~give Town Municipalities Regn 1933~~ and ~~then~~ submit the objections if any recd to the proposal along with the ~~its~~ resolution ~~in relation~~ of the Council thereon for the ~~approval~~ orders of Govt

State that before the ~~tax~~ toll can be abolished the procedure prescribed in chapter VII of the Town Municipalities Regn should, so far as may be, be observed – vide Sec 47 (2) of the Regn I am therefore to –

I have etc

Issue 24/3 ~~For~~ Secy to Govt
Development Dept

Date of issue 29/3
Sd by Mr A S Rao

WD 0255—GPB—10 000 – 23 8 32

Extra

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 7100
ML 151-33

Bangalore, Dated, ~~28th March~~ 1934
29

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No D3 2288/33-34 dated 5th March 1934, requesting sanction for the abolition of the levy of tolls in Seringapatam Municipality, I am directed to state that before the toll can be abolished ~~absorbed~~, the procedure prescribed in Chapter VII of the Town Municipalities Regulation should, so far as may be, be observed, vide section 47 (2) of the Regulation. I am therefore to request you to ask the Municipal Council of Seringapatam to give public notice of the proposed abolition under section 62 and submit the objections, if any, received to the proposal along with the resolution of the Council thereon for the orders of Government

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Subba Rao
for Secretary to Government,
Development Department

ACH.2.

No D3. 2288/33-34
Enc One

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

Dated, Mysore, 18th May 1934.

29
538

FROM C. S. Kuppuswamiengar, Esq
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT, MYSORE

GEN. SECTT.
22 MAY 1934
L.S.G BRANCH

To
M. Venkatanaranappa, Esq , B A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
21 MAY 1934

Abolition of Toll in Seringapatam Municipality.

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.L.7100/M1.151-33 dated 29th March 1934 in re the above, I have the honour to state that the Seringapatam Municipal Council at their Meeting held on 14th April 1934 have resolved that the toll cannot be abolished on account of the present state of finances An extract from the resolution of the Municipal Council is enclosed.

In view of the practical difficulties involved in the collection of toll and the fact that the income thereupon is not appreciable and the visitors to the various places of interest find the imposition of the toll irksome, I have, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 194 of the Municipal Regulation, issued a notice to the Municipal Council, to show cause why the said resolution should not be suspended or prohibited A copy of the notice is enclosed for the information of Government

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

The receipt of further communication from the Dy Commr may be awaited if approved
23/5

Please enter this in the connected file & submit
NRS
26/5

~~xxx~~D.O No D3. 2288/33.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, 18th May 1934.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE.

Whereas it appears to me that there are practical difficulties in collecting toll at different centres (Ganjam, Paschimavahini and Seringapatam), that the income from such tolls is not very appreciable and that the levy of toll is causing much trouble and inconvenience to visitors to various places of interest in this historic town, whereas, in these circumstances, it appears to me that the resolution of the Seringapatam Municipal Council passed at their meeting held on 14th April 1934, not to abolish the tolls in the Municipality, is causing or is likely to cause annoyance to the public, I, Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, do hereby require the said Municipal Council to show cause, within a fortnight, why the execution of the said resolution of the 14th April 1934 should not be suspended or prohibited under Section 194 (1) of the Mysore Town Municipal Regulation, 1933.

Sd/- C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MRS

To

The President,
Seringapatam
Municipal
Council

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Appendix F G-1]

SUBJECT

Section ml
File No 157-33
Serial No 1,84

Diary No of letter replied to 52[illegible]7

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *193*

Date of issue 3-10-35

Signed by Asr ASK

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

No L 2416

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district
Mysore

Sir

With reference to your letter no D3 2288/33-34 dated 18/5/34, regarding the abolition of tolls in Seringapatam ~~municip~~ municipality I am directed to ~~request you to state what~~ enquire whether any reply has been received ~~on your~~ ~~your~~ to the show cause notice issued by you to the municipality. If not, reply having been recd, I am to request you

P.T.O.

to get it and [illegible]

[illegible] with your remarks for

the orders of Govt

I have etc

AM 3/2/9

Jr. Secy to Govt

Dev Deptt

3/2/9

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated 2nd October 1935

No L2416
1

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No D 3 2288/33-34 dated 18th May 1934 regarding the abolition of tolls in Seringapatam Municipality, I am directed to enquire whether any reply has been received to the 'show cause' notice issued by you to the Municipality If no reply has been received, I am to request you to get it and forward the same with your remarks for the orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Subba Rao
Secretary to Government,
Development Department

Aga/2